

MEMORANDUM FOR

For your records, attached is a brief outline of talking points did for the DCI's and DDCI's meeting with Judge Clarke on Wed, 21 Jul at 5:15. As you probably already know, this will be a weekly meeting, taking place every Wednesday at 5:00.

Date

SECRET

Suggested Topics for 21 July Meeting with Judge Clark

- Explore how we can make these sessions most useful.

[REDACTED]

25X1

- DDCI can provide.

[REDACTED]

25X1

- Ask if the NSC plans to take up the DDI's offer of having a DDI European specialist on detail.

- We offered them three people.

- They took [REDACTED]
- Lost the opportunity for a trade specialist
- We are waiting to hear from them about the third.

25X1

- If the attached was delivered, provide any appropriate follow-up; if not delivered, provide rationale for not having NSC observer at NFIB, noting that pertinent issues could be discussed at these Wednesday meetings.

The Director of Central Intelligence

Washington, D.C. 20505

The Honorable William P. Clark
Assistant to the President
for National Security Affairs
The White House
Washington, D.C. 20500

Dear Bill,

I have your note regarding your proposal to place an NSC Staffer as an observer on the National Foreign Intelligence Board. I would like to counsel against doing so. The principal function of the National Foreign Intelligence Board is to enable me to present the President with coordinated intelligence and fulfill my responsibility as his principal foreign intelligence adviser. It would be counterproductive if you and the President were receiving commentary gleaned by a staffer as an observer to the intelligence process at the NFIB.

You also must be well aware of the fear that constantly exists in Washington over politicizing intelligence. That fear has been expressed innumerable times, particularly in light of the dual roles that I play as DCI and as a Cabinet member. Placing a member of your Staff into the NFIB arena would add further grist to that mill, and I think it would create a hassle that all of us need not experience. I am sure that whoever would sit in as an observer would be conscientious in attempting to avoid any such conflict, however, the perception would remain and I do not think the potential value would be worth the grief.

As an alternative, let me suggest that John and I meet with you and Bud regularly each week, possibly for breakfast, and discuss the pertinent issues of the time.

Sincerely,

William J. Casey